



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/453,109	12/02/1999	MARK R. PRAUSNITZ	BVTP-P01-539	2183
28120	7590 04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP		KREMER, MATTHEW J		
ROPES & G	RAY LLP NATIONAL PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1A 02110-2624		3736	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/453,109	PRAUSNITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J Kremer	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,9,11,14-19,25,31,35 and 37-46</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-8,10,12,13,24,26-30,32-34 and 8</u>) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers	drawn from consideration. is/are rejected. 36 is/are objected to.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	ninor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Addra han and (a)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/3/2005 has been entered.

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 3/3/2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any 2. patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,503,231 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Objections

Claims 19 and 43 are objected to because of the following informalities. In claim 3. 19, line 1, "one or more" should be inserted before "microneedles". In claim 43, line 1, "43" should be "42". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/453,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 9, 11, 14-15, 25, 31, 35, 37, 40-41, and 44-46 are rejected under 35 5. U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,848,991 to Gross et al. (Gross '991). Gross '991 teaches a device with a needle 14 that has a length up to 1 mm and a width of about 100 microns, a substrate 2a, and at least one reservoir 10. (column 7, lines 23-50 of Gross '991). It is noted that the limitation "for collecting a sample of a biological fluid" in the preamble was not given any patentable weight and was not considered a limitation since the body of the claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention and the preamble merely states the purpose or intended use of the invention rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations. See MPEP 2111.02. It is further noted that the reservoir 10 is considered the collection chamber because there is no structural difference between the claimed collection chamber (a chamber that holds a fluid) and the reservoir of Gross (a chamber that also holds fluid). In regard to claim 9, there is a plurality of compartments since there is reservoir 10 and chamber 12. (Fig. 3 of Gross '991). In regard to claim 11, an adhesive layer 6 is disclosed. (Fig. 3 of Gross '991). In regard to claims 14-15

Application/Control Number: 09/453,109 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

and 31, a sensor 28 is disclosed, which is in communication with the microneedle since the sensor controls the dispensing of the drug through the needle. (column 8, lines 6-8 and Fig. 3 of Gross '991).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,848,991 to Gross et al. (Gross '991) as applied to claim 15, and further in view U.S. Patent 5,722,397 to Eppstein. Gross '991 teaches that a blood glucose sensor is used. (claim 23 of Gross '991). Gross '991 does not teach the particulars of the blood glucose sensor. Eppstein teaches a blood glucose sensor (Fig. 7A-B of Eppstein) that would fulfill the requirements of providing a blood glucose sensor as set forth by Gross '991. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the glucose sensor of Eppstein in the invention of Gross '991 since Gross '991 teaches the use of a glucose sensor and Eppstein teaches one such sensor.

Application/Control Number: 09/453,109 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

8. Claims 38-39 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,848,991 to Gross et al. (Gross '991) as applied to claim 1 and 15, and further in view U.S. Patent 5,807,375 to Gross et al. (Gross '375). Gross '991 teaches the use of a delivery needle but does not teach the material of the needle. Gross '375 teaches that such needles are made of platinum-iridium (Abstract of Gross '375), which would fulfill the requirements of providing a needle material as required by Gross '991. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use platinium-irridium as disclosed in Gross '375 since a needle material is required and Gross '375 teaches one such material.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 2-8, 10, 12-13, 24, 26-30, 32-34, and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 20-23 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. In regard to claim 2, the prior art does not teach or suggest a means for inducing transport of a biological fluid or component thereof into the collection chamber that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 2. In regard to claim

Application/Control Number: 09/453,109

Art Unit: 3736

10, the prior art does not teach or suggest the use of a plurality of needles that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 10. In regard to claim 13, the prior art does not teach or suggest a fracturable or removable barrier that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claims 13. In regard to claim 20, the prior art does not teach or suggest that at least one of the microneedles is or comprises a sensor that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 20. In regard to claim 24, the prior art does not teach or suggest that the collection chamber is adapted to receive and use glucose strips that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 24. In regard to claim 26, the prior art does not teach or suggest a material to modulate the flow of biological fluid through the microneedles into the collection chamber that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 26. In regard to claim 27, the prior art does not teach or suggest a step of triggering the means for inducing to permit the transport of a quantity of the biological fluid or an analyte therein through the microneedles and into the collection chamber that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 27. In regard to claim 32, the prior art does not teach or suggest a means for inducing transport of the biological fluid or an analyte therein into the collection chamber that is combined or combinable with the other limitations of claim 32.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 11-12, 14-19, 25, 31, 35 and 12. 37-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J Kremer whose telephone number is 571-272-4727. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. between 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Kremer Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 3736